

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/735,290 | 12/12/2003 | Riko Nagai | 9683/161 | 9940 |
| Tadashi Horie | 7590 08/09/2007 | | EXAM | INER |
| Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione | | | WON, MICHAEL YOUNG | |
| NBC Tower, S P.O. Box 1039 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Chicago, IL 60610 | | | 2155 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/09/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/735,290 | NAGAI ET AL. | | | |
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Michael Y. Won | 2155 | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC | O personnel): | | | | |
| (1) Michael Y. Won. | (3) | | | | |
| (2) Amir Penn (Reg. No. 40,767). | (4) | | | | |
| വർ Date of Interview: <u>02 September 2007</u> . | | • | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant | 2) ☐ applicant's representativ | e] | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1. | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Yaker (US 6,230,186) | <u>)</u> | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. | g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ ! | N/A. | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the generateached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant(s) believed Yexaminer explained how such limitation is explicitly taughted.</u> | aker did not teach the determin | o if an agreement was ning step of claim 1. The | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. | copy of the amendments that v | greed would render the claims would render the claims | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | • | | | |
| · · · | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | • | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| • | | (). | | | |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required